

Re: Perhaps there's hope for NASA yet

## Re: Perhaps there's hope for NASA yet

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.space.shuttle/2005-04/msg00185.html>

---

- *From:* "Brian Gaff" <[Brian1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Brian1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 12 Apr 2005 19:27:11 GMT
- 

"Herb Schaltegger" <[herb.schaltegger@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:herb.schaltegger@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message [news:0001HW.BE8174CE0326C2EDF02845B0@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:0001HW.BE8174CE0326C2EDF02845B0@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

> From testimony at Griffin's confirmation hearing:

>

> "With regard to the Crew Exploration Vehicle, Griffin noted that in the  
> 1960s the Gemini program took only three years and the development of  
> the Apollo capsule only about 6 years from award to fruition. He said  
> 2014 is too far out for flying for the first time a vehicle he compared  
> to the Apollo capsule.

>

> "President Bush said no later than 2014. He didn't to say we couldn't be  
> smart and do it early,' Griffin told members of the Commerce Committee.  
> "That would be my goal. It is unacceptable to me that it should take  
> from 2005 to 2014 to do the same thing<sup>2</sup> the country did during the  
> 1960s."

>

> <[http://space.com/news/griffin\\_hearing\\_050412.html](http://space.com/news/griffin_hearing_050412.html)>

>

>

> --

> Herb Schaltegger, GPG Key ID: BBF6FC1C

> "They that can give up essential liberty to obtain a little temporary  
> safety deserve neither liberty nor safety." – Benjamin Franklin, 1759

> <<http://www.angryherb.net>>

>

But, then there was a kind of goal that was very well defined, i.e., land on the moon and come back in the decade.

This time, surely, the emulation of what was done then is not the goal. If the goal is longer stays and a versatile vehicle for shall we say, other uses, then someone has got to define whether its one vehicle, several, or whatever.

For what reason do you want to stay on the moon? Last time it seemed, that at every place they went it was much the same. They surely need to visit the poles and the other side.

If those are all the same as well, why do you want to be there?

Re: Perhaps there's hope for NASA yet

Re: Perhaps there's hope for NASA yet

I'd be much more interested in what will be done to protect people out there from radiation. It seems this is the one thing that could scupper the whole 'human' side to exploration.

Brian

--

Brian Gaff....Note, this account does not accept Bcc: email.  
graphics are great, but the blind can't hear them  
Email: briang1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

---

• **References:**

- ◆ ***Perhaps there's hope for NASA yet***  
◇ *From:* Herb Schaltegger

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Could a shuttle be invisible?***
- Next by Date: ***forsale professional Spaceflight and Astronomy collection***
- Previous by thread: ***Perhaps there's hope for NASA yet***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Perhaps there's hope for NASA yet***
- Index(es):
  - ◆ ***Date***
  - ◆ ***Thread***