

Re: computer network on Discovery unreliable?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.shuttle/2005-08/msg01319.html>

- *From:* "Jorge R. Frank" <jrfrank@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 07 Aug 2005 11:13:44 -0500
-

"Brian Gaff" <Briang1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
[news:X4oJe.84044\\$G8.17156@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:X4oJe.84044$G8.17156@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx):

> On many Communications on this mission they seem to have had to
> reboot the systems to get a machine called proshare visible on the
> ground in order to transfer data. What version of Windows are they
> using? Sounds like 98, surely not!!

It's 98SE. They really need to replace the laptops before they can upgrade
the OS; the 760XD's just don't have the muscle to run anything more modern.

—
JRF

Reply-to address spam-proofed – to reply by E-mail,
check "Organization" (I am not assimilated) and
think one step ahead of IBM.

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: computer network on Discovery unreliable?***
◇ *From:* Jochem Huhmann
 - ◆ ***Re: computer network on Discovery unreliable?***
◇ *From:* Brian Gaff
- *References:*
 - ◆ ***computer network on Discovery unreliable?***
◇ *From:* Brian Gaff
- Prev by Date: ***Re: Re-Entry time GMT?***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Tongue in Cheek Foam Solution***
- Previous by thread: ***computer network on Discovery unreliable?***
- Next by thread: ***Re: computer network on Discovery unreliable?***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***