

Re: International Space Agency

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.shuttle/2005-08/msg02449.html>

- *From:* John Doe <jdoe@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 26 Aug 2005 15:27:12 -0400
-

Derek Lyons wrote:

>

> "Victoria" <victoria-scott@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

> Dear Victoria – there is little confusion as almost nobody but you
> writes about your 'agency'.

And we know that the real name of the international space agency will be Starfleet. It will eventually become part of a greater organisation called the Federation. And this won't happen for at least another 150 years.

And you'll notice that they killed the Star Trek franchise just when they were about to document how the Federation gets formed. The current government clearly has no intentions of being part of some international agency where it doesn't have 100% control and they probably arranged for the Star Trek franchise to be killed to prevent that from happening.

One producer tried to rescue Enterprise, and being Cuban born, he probably resisted the "suggestions" to cannabalise the franchise and tried his best to counter the damage done by the previous producer who was intent on killing it to please the current government.

And yes, I see black shuttlecraft hovering above me. There are here even before I post this. :-) :-) :-) :-)

And tin foil hats don't protect against phasers and transporters.

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ **Re: International Space Agency**
 - ◇ *From:* Brian Gaff
- *References:*
 - ◆ **International Space Agency**
 - ◇ *From:* Victoria
 - ◆ **Re: International Space Agency**
 - ◇ *From:* Derek Lyons

Re: International Space Agency

- Prev by Date: *Re: International Space Agency*
- Next by Date: *Re: White Knight to Orbit was Re: Designing a new orbiter*
- Previous by thread: *Re: International Space Agency*
- Next by thread: *Re: International Space Agency*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*