

Re: Size of the proposed "new" space vehicle?

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.space.shuttle/2005-10/msg00113.html>

- *From:* "Jeff Findley" <jeff.findley@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 7 Oct 2005 13:25:07 -0400
-

"tomcat" <jlavine@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1128645977.289142.256100@xx
> Understand that this triangle spaceplane would require 'real' American
> backing. You have to 'really' want a spaceplane to . . . make one . .
> . before you die of . . . old age!
>
> Really!

You're horribly delusional. Try looking at the X-33 program and tell us again how quick and easy it would be to build a "triangle spaceplane". Part of the problem with such a design is the shape of the fuel tanks, which is exactly where X-33 had some serious problems. More time and money might have fixed those issues, but the program was cancelled because of the reluctance to throw good money after bad.

Jeff

--

Remove icky phrase from email address to get a valid address.

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: Size of the proposed "new" space vehicle?***
 ◇ *From:* tomcat
- *References:*
 - ◆ ***Re: Size of the proposed "new" space vehicle?***
 ◇ *From:* Mike Dennis
 - ◆ ***Re: Size of the proposed "new" space vehicle?***
 ◇ *From:* tomcat
- Prev by Date: ***Re: Returning to the Moon is Folly***
- Next by Date: ***Re: ET foam***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Size of the proposed "new" space vehicle?***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Size of the proposed "new" space vehicle?***

Re: Size of the proposed "new" space vehicle?

- Index(es):

- ◆ *Date*

- ◆ *Thread*