

Re: nonlinear regression

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.stat.edu/2005-04/msg00105.html>

- *From:* glenbarnett@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 25 Apr 2005 19:10:07 -0700
-

There are two curves in that plot – which one were you referring to?

22.5 doesn't look right for either!

That said, your equation would be of the right form for constant wattage (which would make sense), and the curves look about right for that. The curves don't look to be experimental but to have been derived from a formula typed in to Excel.

Glen

.

- *References:*

- ◆ [*nonlinear regression*](#)
◇ *From:* orangeKDS

- Prev by Date: [*assumption of Classification*](#)
- Next by Date: [*MatLab randn and Simulation Step Numbers*](#)
- Previous by thread: [*nonlinear regression*](#)
- Next by thread: [*Re: nonlinear regression*](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [*Date*](#)
 - ◆ [*Thread*](#)