

Re: significance of correlation affected by sample size?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.stat.edu/2005-11/msg00065.html>

- *From:* "Thom" <t.s.baguley@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 25 Nov 2005 03:32:07 -0800
-

>A far more correct statement is that the "statistical
>significance" is approximately a function of the effect
>size multiplied by the square root of the sample size.

That's certainly true, but I think the spirit of Rosenthal's conceptual equation is to remind/educate researchers that statistical significance is a function of both effect size and sample size.

Thom

.

• *References:*

- ◆ *significance of correlation affected by sample size?*
◇ *From:* 2046
 - ◆ *Re: significance of correlation affected by sample size?*
◇ *From:* Bruce Weaver
 - ◆ *Re: significance of correlation affected by sample size?*
◇ *From:* Thom
 - ◆ *Re: significance of correlation affected by sample size?*
◇ *From:* Herman Rubin
-
- Prev by Date: *Re: Comparing different scales*
 - Next by Date: *R^2 in NLS...non-sense??*
 - Previous by thread: *Re: significance of correlation affected by sample size?*
 - Next by thread: *Re: significance of correlation affected by sample size?*
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*