

Re: Unknown mean and known variance? Care to explain a little bit?

## Re: Unknown mean and known variance? Care to explain a little bit?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.stat.edu/2008-04/msg00014.html>

---

- *From:* Richard Ulrich <[Rich.Ulrich@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Rich.Ulrich@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 04 Apr 2008 23:07:44 -0400
- 

On Fri, 4 Apr 2008 15:11:48 -0700 (PDT), sarikan  
<[serefarikan@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:serefarikan@xxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

Hi,

I've been using statistical methods for a while, but the problem is some of the usual practices common in many textbooks and courses sometimes get stuck in my head.

There are a lot of statistical tools that can give you critical information about your data, and when you take statistics as a tool, usually people are not really bothered if you really know what the tool is doing for you or not. (well, most of them)

I'd really appreciate your help about a well known scenario: you can find it in any statistics book, there is a population with an unknown mean, and a known variance. I'd love to hear a real life example for this, and an explanation. We have a population, and we do not know the mean, but the variance is about average deviation from the mean is it not? How do we know the spread of a population without knowing the point it is spread around?

Maybe I'm a little bit confused, but I'd really like to get a little help

I've given some thought to the question before.

I will start by saying that the "known variance" scenario is introduced, in part, for reasons of history; and also as a small introduction to theory – the "theory" courses move naturally from the simple "known variance" to the more complex.

In practice ---

First, fixed variances arise naturally from data that are in the form of untied ranks. That is why you see the rank tests achieved with chi-squared tests, rather than F-tests.

Another sort of case that occurs naturally is when the

Re: Unknown mean and known variance? Care to explain a little bit?

Re: Unknown mean and known variance? Care to explain a little bit?

sub-sample is small, and, for the sake of robustness, one elects to use the variance of the whole population.

Expanding on this, one might use the variance of a well-developed, standardized test like IQ, in place of the measured variance.

—

Rich Ulrich

<http://www.pitt.edu/~wpilib/index.html>

.