

Re: r-Squared Question

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.stat.math/2005-07/msg00332.html>

- *From:* "Reef Fish" <Large_Nassau_Grouper@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 14 Jul 2005 15:12:22 -0700
-

Jerry Dallal wrote:

> Reef Fish wrote:

>>

>> Jerry Dallal wrote:

>>

>>>Reef Fish wrote:

>>>

>>>>Jerry Dallal wrote:

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>>Reef Fish wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>>Jerry Dallal wrote:

>>>>>>

>>>>>>Rather it is usually defined

>>>>>>as $1 - \text{ResSS}/\text{TSS}$ (or RegSS/TSS),

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>No. But it's equivalent to the usual $\text{RegSS}/\text{TotSS}$ because

>>>>> $\text{RegSS} + \text{SSE}$ (your ResSS) = TotSS .

>>>>>

>>>>>Isn't that what "or" means, as in "3/6 or 1/2"?

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> My "no" was referring to "it is usually defined as".

>>>>>

>>>>> I probably never read the book from which you got your

>>>>> definition, because I've NEVER seen R^2 DEFINED as " $1 - \text{ResSS}/\text{TSS}$ ".

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>> I'm willing to concede the point, but for the fun of it I pulled four

>>>>> texts from my shelf:

>>>>>

>>>>> Draper & Smith, 2nd: $\text{RegSS}/\text{TotSS}$, as "Percentage Variation Explained"

So THEY contributed to the misconception and TWO ERRORS ("Percentage")

Re: r-Squared Question

and "Explained") I wrote about.

> Netter et al., latest ed: $R^2 = \text{RegSS}/\text{TSS} = 1 - \text{ResSS}/\text{TSS}$

I've taught from Neter et al (several editions) and R^2 was always DEFINED as $\text{RegSS}/\text{TotSS}$. Yours must've been some "Netter". :-)

> Kleinbaum et al., latest: $(\text{RegSS} - \text{ResSS})/\text{TotSS}$

IMPOSSIBLE! It's WRONG. That's not R^2 at all. I assume it's your copying error.

>

> Searle: the square of the cc between observed and predicted!

That's a baddy, as a definition.

>

> >>>> If one uses the formal definition of R^2

What formal definition, Jerry? Now that you've listed three (and one typo) from statistics textbooks?

> >>>> to calculate it for this example, R^2 turns out to be -0.03 , which says

> >>>> the problem is with the model, not R^2 .

> >>>

> >>>

> >>> This is your ERROR, Jerry.

> >>>

> >>> The definition of Multiple R^2 CANNOT lead to a negative value!

> >>>

> >>

> >> I'm not sure what the issue is here. R^2 cannot lead to a negative

> >> value in the land of sanity and least squares.

> >

> >

> > Excuse me. Are we discussing statistics in Alice in Wonderland?

>

> In this instance, yes!

Actually Beyond Alice in Wonderland! :-) See above references to Kleinbaum, your "formal definition of R^2 " and $R^2 = -.03$.

>

> > Then why not tell it in Plain English that R^2 is a mathematical

> > quantity that CANNOT possibly take on a negative value UNLESS

> > someone is mangling it by introducing something improper! I mentioned

> > the economist's use Adjusted R^2 as another example of Quackery.

>

> >

> > Your follow-up did not clarify or rectify the issue that whatever

> > the OP did, it was statistical NONSENSE.

Re: r-Squared Question

Re: r-Squared Question

>
> You might look at it that way.

There's no other valid way to look at it, Jerry.

> You might also look at it as answering
> the question, "How does this measure work if applied to arbitrary
> models?" and leaving it to the reader to draw his/her own inference
> about $R^2 = -0.03$.

How does WHAT measure work? There is some weak excuse for using the Searle-like "definition" to get some correlation, but even Searle's definition would NOT yield a NEGATIVE number, unless Searle can get an complex number $i \cdot \sqrt{-0.3}$ as a correlation.

— Bob.

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ **Re: r-Squared Question**
◇ From: Jerry Dallal

• *References:*

- ◆ **r-Squared Question**
◇ From: Predictor
- ◆ **Re: r-Squared Question**
◇ From: Radford Neal
- ◆ **Re: r-Squared Question**
◇ From: Predictor
- ◆ **Re: r-Squared Question**
◇ From: Jerry Dallal
- ◆ **Re: r-Squared Question**
◇ From: Jerry Dallal
- ◆ **Re: r-Squared Question**
◇ From: Reef Fish
- ◆ **Re: r-Squared Question**
◇ From: Jerry Dallal
- ◆ **Re: r-Squared Question**
◇ From: Reef Fish
- ◆ **Re: r-Squared Question**
◇ From: Jerry Dallal
- ◆ **Re: r-Squared Question**
◇ From: Reef Fish
- ◆ **Re: r-Squared Question**
◇ From: Jerry Dallal

- Prev by Date: **Re: multiple regression (intercept)**
- Next by Date: **Re: r-Squared Question**

Re: r-Squared Question

- Previous by thread: [***Re: r-Squared Question***](#)
- Next by thread: [***Re: r-Squared Question***](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [***Date***](#)
 - ◆ [***Thread***](#)