

# Re: What is the raison? Who tell me?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.stat.math/2006-05/msg00150.html>

---

- *From:* "David A. Heiser" <[daheiser@xxxxxxx](mailto:daheiser@xxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 5 May 2006 17:04:02 -0700
- 

""Luis A. Afonso"" <[licas\\_@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:licas_@xxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
<news:5311655.1146595821185.JavaMail.jakarta@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

I could not see in your last comment any further argument, sound or not, for or against, the unifying idea I proposed. Therefore we stuck with a serious obstacle towards an eventual consensus.

Regards  
\_\_\_\_\_licas (Luis A. Afonso)

++++  
The criticism is perhaps the fact that you have not read what Neyman said about the hypothesis.  
In 1957 he said : "First the visualization of several possible sets of hypotheses relevant to the phenomenon, second deductions from these sets of hypotheses, and third, an act of will or decision to take a particular action, perhaps to assume a particular attitude towards the various sets of hypotheses".

You have not covered or looked at all possible hypotheses, to totally cover probability space.

DAH

.