

Re: Richard Ulrich is trying to name smear AGAIN!

## Re: Richard Ulrich is trying to name smear AGAIN!

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.stat.math/2006-07/msg00352.html>

---

- *From:* Richard Ulrich <[Rich.Ulrich@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Rich.Ulrich@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sat, 15 Jul 2006 21:00:42 -0400
- 

On 13 Jul 2006 16:43:49 -0700, "Reef Fish"  
<[Large\\_Nassau\\_GrOuper@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Large_Nassau_GrOuper@xxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

Bob does not say much that is interesting in this post.

He seems to be writing, once again, in a stream of consciousness that is spurred by individual words, barely relating to my communication.

Here are a few reactions of my own.

Richard Ulrich wrote:

about Googling –

On 13 Jul 2006 09:59:06 -0700, "Reef Fish"  
<[Large\\_Nassau\\_GrOuper@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Large_Nassau_GrOuper@xxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

[snip]

You snip the MOST important part that NOBODY ELSE posts by the name Reef Fish (in the Google archives of all USENET groups since 1981).

Why would that be important to me?

Bob misses my points. I use his name (1) to bother him, and (2) to possibly shame him. Further, it (3) supplies an \*additional\* Keyword for effective searching. That last is a point of reasoning that Bob seems to miss, over and over.

That being the case, and the fact that I post by the same posting

Re: Richard Ulrich is trying to name smear AGAIN!

Re: Richard Ulrich is trying to name smear AGAIN!

name Reef Fish in sci.stat.\* groups, as I've done in ALL newsgroups since 1992, why do you think Richard Ulrich, for MONTHS, kept insisting on "Bob Ling wrote" when the post says "Reef Fish wrote"?

Ah, that "Reef Fish wrote" is misleading, since it suggests that I delete the intro-lines that say "Reef Fish." I never to do that.

It doesn't take Sherlock Holmes to figure out that Richard is trying to draw attention to the fact that there are OTHERS who tried to smear my professional name in OTHER groups that are NOT statistics rel