

# Re: large negative parameter correlations in regression

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.stat.math/2006-09/msg01082.html>

---

- *From:* "Anon." <[bob.ohara@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:bob.ohara@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Wed, 27 Sep 2006 19:17:00 +0300
- 

Reef Fish wrote:

Anon. wrote:

Reef Fish wrote:

Anon. wrote:

Reef Fish wrote:

Anon. wrote:

Reef Fish  
wrote:

Stephen  
Clark  
wrote:

<snip>

So, no change there.

--

Bob O'Hara  
Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Your reply was so non-specific, so speculative, so name-dropping without knowing what you were talking about that it would require FREE tuition to explain it to YOU, without benefiting the OP.

Name dropping? Check: I didn't even mention any names!

Re: large negative parameter correlations in regression

Name dropping don't always refer to PERSONAL name-dropping. You were dropping names of "statistical terms and procedures" of which you had no idea of the relevance or irrelevance of them to the OP's question. Such as "prediction", "covariates", "correlation" (don't even know which of the 4 different correlations you were talking about), etc. etc.

Non-specific? Like this: "Prediction: the mean of the variable is a long way from zero." That's so specific, it's falsifiable.

I h