

# Re: Testing the Equality of Two Population Proportions

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.stat.math/2006-11/msg00297.html>

---

- *From:* "Reef Fish" <[large\\_nassua\\_grouper@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:large_nassua_grouper@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 7 Nov 2006 20:59:38 -0800
- 

Jerry Dallal wrote:

I am NOT going to read an obscure paper in a 2nd rate journal by a third or 4th rate author just because a professor who made an error on his webpage couldnt articulate a freshman level SPECIAL CASE. on using Z to test  $H_0: p_1 = p_2 = \text{ZERO}$ .

A very, very special case.

All other relevant reasons that I'll be too busy the next couple of weeks are beside the point, in YOUR evasion and absence of even a single valid response to WHY you ignore the  $H_0$  condition other than you made a mistake (a careless one) on your webpage.

That is excusable. Very excusable.

Your EVASION of an answer is not.

You EXCUSE for anyone to read the Am Stat paper to answer a simple Freshman Level question taught in ALL Freshman textbooks is the most laughable excuse I've seen since I have been reading this group, and I've seen plenty of laughable excuses, from just Afonso alone, the #1 who point to your webpage mistake. And Afonso #2 pointed to the same mistake for support, not knowing Afonso #1 had already done so and had been thoroughly debunked. Now comes the webpage professor himself, NOT able to make a simple retraction or correction of his error, and unable to give an explanation other than pointing to a pointless paper in the American Statistician.

Is that how you actually TEACH?

That is a pretty sad commentary of the American System of "higher education" I must say.

## Re: Testing the Equality of Two Population Proportions

— Reef Fish Bob.

There's nothing to retract. You asked for references. I gave you references. YOU asked for them. You got them. NOW