

Re: Simple question on Shannon's 1948 paper

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.stat.math/2006-12/msg00851.html>

- *From:* "Michael" <mchl@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 28 Dec 2006 18:11:40 -0800
-

I am interested in the second unnumbered equation in Part 1 of the paper:

$$N(t) = N(t-t_1) + N(t-t_2) + \dots + N(t-t_n)$$

How do I see that the above equation does what it says it does, and what are the conditions on $N(t)$?

A couple lines before and after define terms. I'll paraphrase:

We are interested in sequences of the symbols S_1, \dots, S_n . Each symbol S_i takes t_i time to transmit.

$N(t)$ = number of sequences of duration t
= number of sequences of duration t that end in S_1 + number of sequences of duration t that end in S_2 + \dots + number of sequences of duration t that end in S_n
= number of sequences of duration $t - t_1 * 1$ + number of sequences of duration $t - t_2 * 1 + \dots$ + number of sequences of duration $t - t_n * 1$
= $N(t-t_1) + N(t-t_2) + \dots + N(t-t_n)$

The third step is the doozy. Basically, we want to show that, for all i
number of sequences of duration t that end in S_i = number of sequences of duration $t - t_i * 1$

Well, basically, we could write out all the sequences:

SS...SS i

where S is some symbol (any symbol), and the last symbol is S_i . There could be a bunch of these:

S 1 S i

S 2 S i

S 1 S 2 S 3 S 4 S i

whatever.

The total number is (# of sequences SS...S) * 1, i.e., the last element of the sequence is fixed as S_i .

Re: Simple question on Shannon's 1948 paper

S_i takes t_i time. Let's suppose we can transmit $SS\dots S$ in $t-t_i$ time. Then we can transmit $SS\dots S_i$ in $(t-t_i) + t_i = t$ time. In fact, that's if and only if (details left for you).

If this still isn't clear, please let me know which part is losing you, and I'll see if I can fill in some more detail.

Michael

.