

# Re: TO COMPLETE

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.stat.math/2008-07/msg00337.html>

---

- *From:* Jack Tomsy <[jtomsky@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jtomsky@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 15 Jul 2008 15:15:18 EDT
- 

WORMTOMSKY said:

\*\*\* Afonso has expressed hypotheses in terms of test statistics instead of parameters, has called Quantiles of test statistics "confidence intervals" and has called p-values "significance levels. He denies that the sample lies in the sample space. He needs to take a course in Statistics 101. Jack (moderator) \*\*\*

My response

\_\_A liar and a BRUNDLE

\_\_1\_\_ THE LIAR \_\_I do not never made confusion between a statistic (a function of data) and a parameter (a constant characterizing the Distribution),

\_\_2\_\_ THE BRUNDLE \_\_Once the Statistics simulated by Monte Carlo its fractiles provided the Critical Values: Herbert Lilliefors open a DOOR to a guard that obtuse Mathematicians with their own tools were unable to find solution, analytically.. THEY PREFER TO HAVE EXACT SOLUTIONS TO MORE OR LESS CLOSE PROBLEMS THAN TO HAVE GOOD APPROXIMATIONS TO THE E REAL ONES UNDER STUDY.

Furthermore the degree of approximation is rigorously controlled by a 70 years result: the Dvoretzky-Kiefer-Wolfowitz that Jack Tomsy did not met before I presented it HERE. I clearly jumped thought TWO YEARS AGO (or so). Effectively I said that Herbert Lilliefors found Confidence Intervals, a correction if made once, was to be accepted and acknowledged, as I did, if repeated daily only shows what Jack Tomsy is: unfair, ethically deficient, and trying, using no matter means, erase his BRUNDLES (much more serious, indeed, than my improper attribution). STINGY people do not lose n opportunity to show is stinginess. In his stupidity he is unable, even at present, to understand what marvelous tool Lilliefors brought to

Re: TO COMPLETE

PRACTICAL STATISTICS. He shall never do.

\_\_3\_\_ He denies that the sample lies in the sample space: THIS IS A LIE, Readers, THE BRUNDLE was from Jack Tomsky that \*\*\* the samples are in the parameter s SPACE \*\*\* to what I reply in these terms: NOT AT ALL the samples are too rough to enter in a space that is an INTERVAL. This boy is STUPID, STINGY; MACHIEVOUS and LIAR

\*\*\*\*\*

I had forgotten a very important Jack Tomsky inaccuracy

\_\_\_ Test Hypotheses ARE NOT EXCLUSIVELY CONCERNING PARAMETERS.

\_\_\_ TO KIND OF THEM DO NOT HAVING TO DO WITH THEM:

\_\_\_ The GOODNESS OF FITTING (GOF),

\_\_\_ AND the NO-PARAMETRIC

it's logic to think that Jack Tomsky never find them (exactly alike in what concerns TESTS BY SIMULATION).

Luis Amaral Afonso [The moderator (???) destroyer]

Afonso again repeats his ignorance that the sample space has to be an interval. The sample space is the set of all possible sample outcomes. By definition, each sample has to lie in the sample space.

Afonso again refuses to believe that hypotheses are statements about subsets of the parameter space. That's why he came up with the notorious  $H: 5/13 \leq 8/13$ , which he is unable to accept.

Jack (moderator)

.