

Re: Scope Quality Question

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.techniques.microscopy/2005-04/msg00108.html>

- *From:* "Kevin Cunningham" <smskjd@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 23 Apr 2005 13:13:57 GMT
-

"GTO" <gregor_o@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:G%mae.2277\\$zX7.1902@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:G%mae.2277$zX7.1902@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)
> That's about the age of my old PZO scope. Then my assumption might be
> pretty accurate that your Swift is similar to my PZO in mechanical
> quality. Does it have a monocular (one eye) tubus with Achromat lenses
> (4x,10x,40x,100x/oil), a condenser and a simple illuminator with a frosted
> glass?
>
> If it's 25 years old, I do not think that it was made in China. Kevin?
>
> Gregor
>
> "Charles" <ckraft@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> news:ampj61hqbko2qs1cs40bjt9r7j1nejtkr@xxxxxxxx
>> On Sat, 23 Apr 2005 01:52:27 GMT, "GTO" <gregor_o@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>Thanks. Since when are Swift scopes sold? I wonder if they were better in
>>>the past.
>>>
>>>Gregor
>>>
>> I've had mine for about 25 years.
>>
>>
>> --
>> Charles
>>
>> Does not play well with others.
>
Actually they are made now in China, India, Taiwan or just about anywere.
In the past they were made by third tier Japanese makers, now to expensive.
You're right, an older Swift (25 yrs.old) is better than they are now. You
can't get parts for any Swift. As long as it works its great.

Decades ago while in college I bought a Miranda camera because it was cheap.
It lasted since I babied it unmercifully, no one but me touched it, and it
lasted pretty well. I never want to go through that again!

Kevin Cunningham
SMS

• *References:*

- ◆ *Scope Quality Question*
 ◇ *From:* Charles
- ◆ *Re: Scope Quality Question*
 ◇ *From:* GTO