

Re: How is this biolam microscope??

Re: How is this biolam microscope??

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.techniques.microscopy/2005-04/msg00182.html>

- *From:* "Dr. Georg N.Nyman" <gnyyman@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 28 Apr 2005 21:24:57 +0200
-

Jim Stewart wrote:

Dr. Georg N.Nyman wrote:

Alex wrote:

<http://www.usedmicroscopes.co.uk/budget%20%20big.html>

Thanks

I have read Kevin's reply and can only agree to it. Do not ask if you do not accept that most cheap microscopes are garbage and not worth the money spent for the postage. If you want to go for a good microscope, then do not buy a Chinese microscope - whatever name it might have. Go for an older and used Zeiss, Leitz, Reichert and depending on the age and condition maybe also Nikon and Olympus (I have got some reservations about the latter two). But if you buy a second hand Leitz, you won't be disappointed. A similar I would give you if you tell me you consider an AO microscope - the older ones, those which have been made in the USA, are not too bad (for what you pay) but the more modern ones have been made in China etc and most of them are garbage as well. Thats my honest opinion - sorry if you don't like it.

Re: How is this biolam microscope??

What do you think of a used Wild M11 for a student/beginner?

Wild in general is a very good manufacturer of equipment. I do not know from personal experience the M11 but Wild in general is excellent. I base my knowledge on their Macroscopes and some other equipment.
Rgds George Nyman

.