

Re: HBO50W or XBO75W

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.techniques.microscopy/2005-05/msg00051.html>

- *From:* "rene" <reneverwezel@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 4 May 2005 02:32:49 -0700
-

Hi Aaron, the Xenon is more versatile, and sufficiently strong for near-UV excitation. It also burns 400 hrs. Rene.

Aaron wrote:

- > Either the HBO 50W/3 or the XBO75W OFRE will work with my
 - > epi-fluorescence unit. Any opinions on which is more desirable? I
 - > need to replace the bulb soon.
 - >
 - > Aaron
- .
-

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ *Re: HBO50W or XBO75W*
 - ◇ *From:* Kevin Cunningham
- *References:*
 - ◆ *HBO50W or XBO75W*
 - ◇ *From:* Aaron
- Prev by Date: *Re: Specimen ID*
- Next by Date: *Re: HBO50W or XBO75W*
- Previous by thread: *HBO50W or XBO75W*
- Next by thread: *Re: HBO50W or XBO75W*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*