

Re: Zeiss Jena Laboval good microscope

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.techniques.microscopy/2008-03/msg00079.html>

- *From:* Kevin Cunningham <smskjc@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 25 Mar 2008 11:26:35 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Mar 25, 11:25 am, Essaouira <javiermr...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 25 mar, 15:34, Kevin Cunningham <sms...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 25, 9:50 am, Essaouira <javiermr...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 20 mar, 12:25, Kevin Cunningham
<sms...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 18, 3:14 pm, Essaouira
<javiermr...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Hello,
I've not found ratings or
reviews about this
microscope. Anyone has
experiences with it?.

Thank you
Javier M. Rico

I've worked on a few and their complete
crap. Poorly designed, poorly
made, crap.

Re: Zeiss Jena Laboval good microscope

Thanks,

Kevin Cunningham
SMS

Thank you very much.

And the Reichert Microstar IV?. Is it good?.

Essaouira

Sorry, the single worst microscope ever produced. It killed of the production of microscopes in America. I'd avoid this "microscope" like the plague. You kinda lucky to find one, they have just about all died.

Try to find an instrument made by Zeiss, the real one, Leitz, Olympus or Nikon. Sometimes you can find a Wild compound or an AO (either a 10 or a 110), they are all good.

Thanks,

Kevin Cunningham
SMS

Las one, a Wild M12???.

Thank you very much Kevin

The Wild M12 is an excellent instrument. Wilds tend to be quite well built, long lived and constructed to take a lot of abuse and still look good. If it has the accessories you want, then go for it.

Re: Zeiss Jena Laboval good microscope

Re: Zeiss Jena Laboval good microscope

Thanks,

Kevin Cunningham
SMS

.